

'Catch-Up' Strategy for 2020-21



Summary information 'Catch up' Grant					
Academy	Bishop Bronescombe C of E School				
Academic Year	2020-21	Total Catch up budget (estimated)	£24,160	Number of pupils	302 / Spring – 305 / Summer - 303

SECTION ONE: Initial Evaluations and Summary		
Initial Evaluation Tools used	NFER Reading and Maths assessments RWI assessments YR baseline assessment Boxall profiles Teacher assessments of writing Pupil wellbeing survey Teacher observations Attendance analysis	

Identified Barriers (summary of the main findings of your initial evaluation)

Maths

There are gaps in learning across the maths curriculum, in all year groups.

Reading

Pupils have fallen behind in reading. They are less fluent; stamina has decreased and their ability to infer meaning is less strong.

Phonics

Some pupils have fallen behind in their phonic knowledge; some have forgotten sounds whilst others are less proficient at blending and are therefore less fluent readers.

SEMH

Some pupils have been impacted by trauma or the lockdown experience; they are showing a lack of resilience, increased anxiety and some challenging behaviour

Attendance

Although overall attendance is almost in line with last year, a significant number of children classed as persistent absentees are from disadvantaged families.

SECTION TWO: Teaching a effective remote learning)	nd Whole School Strategies (e.g. profess	sional development, supporting	early career teachers, assessment,
What do we want to achieve?	How?	Cost?	Progress/Impact
Identified evaluation or assessment as a baseline	What will you do to address this?	Provide simple breakdown of proportionate or full costs.	6 weekly impact assessment against identified baseline
Difficulty in assessing gaps in learning / reliable baseline assessment needed to identify gaps and children in need of catch-up	Purchase of NFER reading and maths tests to baseline assess and track through year / measure impact	£1047. 32 x 3 terms £3141.96 total	A Spring assessment has been undertaken and has enabled identification of target subjects and pupils as well as identification of gaps to inform whole class teaching / interventions Summer assessments undertaken to determine impace and identify pupils in need of further intervention in the next academic year.
NFER Reading tests identified 35 children in y2-6 had dropped from meeting expectations to working towards or below. Disadvantaged pupils are not attaining as well as non-disadvantaged. SEND pupils are not attaining as well. Pupils, particularly disadvantaged pupils, are language poor (ongoing teacher assessment)	Use of NFER test analysis to inform planning to address gaps enabling Quality First teaching in class and intervention groups. Purchase and implementation of Accelerated Reader Purchase of 3 x iPads per KS2 class to enable children to access quizzes / e-books regularly	AR Year 1 purchase costs - £2761.56	Baseline: All Pupils

		Read SEN Read Attain Spring baseli	upils ling I Premium ling	JExp 47% JExp 28% as improved pupils imp	SExp J 14% d in all gro	Exc 5% Exc 6% oups since
CPD for teachers (targetting early career teachers in KS2)/ support with planning to address gaps from specialist ex-Primary Consultant (1 hour per week x 25 weeks) Resource books	£1000	Y2 Y3 Y4 Y5	All PP All	JExp 48% 25% 50% 33% 60% 50% 61% 33% JExp 56% 44% 52% 29% 37% 11% 59%	SExp 30% 0% 28% 22% 40% 29% 41% 8% SExp 29% 33% 32% 0% 22% 0% 33%	JExc 9% 0% 25% 22% 18% 7% 18% 8% JExc 15% 11% 16% 0% 12% 0% 7%

	PP	47%	27%	7%
Y5	All	36%	24%	9%
	PP	25%	17%	0%
Y6	All	40%	20%	6%
	PP	43%	21%	7%

All year groups below national. Attainment in Y5 declined the most since Spring Lockdown. Y4 ARE remains steady but GD declined.

CPD and English specialist support has taken place for whole class reading – strategies are embedding in KS2 / beginning to be implemented in KS1

Summer 21

Rea	ding	JExp	SExp	JExc
Y1	All	71%	37%	15%
	PP	67%	22%	11%
Y2	All	58%	44%	16%
	PP	31%	15%	0%
Y3	All	54%	24%	10%
	PP	33%	0%	0%
Y4	All	63%	44%	13%
	PP	53%	33%	13%
Y5	All	53%	23%	11%
	PP	36%	7%	0%
Y6	All	76%	46%	22%
	PP	57%	21%	7%

All year groups below national.
Gap closed in Y1 – now exceeding pre-lockdown %. Gap reduced in Y6.

Signficant gaps remain in y2-5 although reduced in all

Reading to remain a priority focus area for catch up

Further development of mastery approach needed in maths, as well as wider curriculum	CPD for teachers: Maths hub support – 1 x KS1 teacher / 1 x Ks2 teacher	Funded	All Pupils Maths Pupil Premium	Exp 50% Exp	SExp 28% SExp	JExc 12% JExc
	Enquiry-led teaching and coaching programme, including: Webinars for teachers and TAs PTI hub CPD Resource books Supply / cover costs	£1000	Maths Spring All Pupils Maths Pupil Premium	39% JExp 37% JExp	SExp JI 18% 6 SExp J	5%
	Maths Mastery CPD for TAs Cover costs	£200	Maths Attainment in maths in al reflecting lost learning du Maths Hub support continonline CPD. Maths Mastery CPD for Tourist Incremental Coaching promaths teaching and learn Summer All Pupils Maths Pupil Premium Maths Overall attainment in overall since Autumn Still not at level in Sp whole school focus	23% Il groups hate to Spring nues with the As to take pagramme traing. JExp 63% JExp 51% n maths haselin	7% as dropped lockdow seachers a place. o focus er SExp J 33% 1 SExp J 16% has impered	d, n. accessing artirely on Exc 2% Exc 7% roved

SECTION THREE: Targete reading interventions)	ed Support (structured interventions,	small group tuition, one-on	e support, effective deployment of TAs,
What do we want to achieve?	How?	Cost?	Progress/Impact
Identified evaluation or assessment as a baseline	What will you do to address this?	Provide simple breakdown of proportionate or full costs.	6 weekly impact assessment against identified baseline
NFER Reading tests and	TA-led intervention – LKS2 and y5	N/A – run by class TAs and	Baseline:
RWI assessments have identified 35 children in y2-	Target children for QFT in class	teachers	All Pupils Exp SExp JExc
5 who have dropped from	TA-led phonics intervention for lowest		Reading 49% 29% 16%
meeting expectations to working towards or below.	20% (those that did not meet phonics threshold in y1 / did not complete at		Pupil Premium Exp SExp JExc Reading 40% 17% 9%
Disadvantaged pupils are	end of y2 due to lockdown)		Reading 40% 17% 9%
not attaining as well as non-disadvantaged.			SEND Exp SExp JExc
SEND pupils are not			Reading 27% 7% 27%
attaining as well.			Reading JExp SExp JExc
			Y2 AII 48% 30% 9%
			PP 25% 0% 0%
			Y3 AII 50% 28% 25% PP 33% 22% 22%
			Y4 All 60% 40% 18%
			PP 50% 29% 7%
			Y5 AII 61% 41% 18%
			PP 33% 8% 8%
			Spring
			All Pupils JExp SExp JExc
			Reading 41% 24% 10%
			Pupil Premium JExp SExp JExc
			Reading 30% 14% 4%

SEND	JExp	SExp	JExc
Reading	19%	9%	3%

Rea	iding	JExp	SExp	JExc
Y1	All	56%	29%	15%
	PP	44%	33%	11%
Y2	All	52%	32%	16%
	PP	29%	0%	0%
Y3	All	37%	22%	12%
	PP	11%	0%	0%
Y4	All	59%	33%	7%
	PP	47%	27%	7%
Y5	All	36%	24%	9%
	PP	25%	17%	0%
Y6	All	40%	20%	6%
	PP	43%	21%	7%

Y2 phonics catch-up started very early to ensure as many pupils as possible met the screening threshold by end of Autumn term. 84.1% met the standard, which was what was predicted at end of Spring 1 2020. This was above Cornwall (80.6%) and National (78.3%). Represents significant value added as 66% achieved Reading ELG in Reception.

Booster teacher to undertake interventions for pupils that have slipped back in years 3 and 5. TA-led interventions to take place in years 4 & 6.

HLTA will provide interventions for pupils needing fluency / phonics catch-up in Years R, 1 & 2.

80% Y6 Targeted group made expected progress despite lockdown 60% Y5 targeted pupils made expected progress and 20% made accelerated progress

			KS2 - 21/26 pup	oils made in 65% of targ	ncreased points s	and commentary. scored in NFER Reading e accelerated progress
RWI phonics assessments identified 16/44 pupils (36%) in Y2 and 5/41 pupils (12%) in Y1 had dropped from meeting expectations to working towards or below and therefore needing further phonics catch-up 5 Y3 pupils did not meet phonics screening in Y1 and did not access intervention/ complete screening in Y2 due to lockdown. 1 Y3 pupil achieved borderline result and has droppede below expectations.	Y2 – 1:1 and small group phonics intervention (RWI and whole word bespoke planning) Y1 – pinny time intervention daily – class teacher and TA led Some 1:1 / small group intervention by specialist HLTA Y3 – daily phonics / reading / fluency intervention – class TA led	Specialist Intervention HLTA: Class TA time £3800	Year 1- Nu Working below Year 2- Nu Working below Year 3- Nu Working below 7/40 - 17.5% Spring: Year 1- Nu Working below 14/41 -	nd: Imber co OW W AF 14 Imber co OW AF AF 4/ Imber co Working towards 13/40 - 32.5%	within ARE 10/40 - 25% of pupils - 4	Working within ARE or above 23 (56%) 4 Working within ARE or above 16/44 (36%) O Working within ARE or above 20/40 - 50%
			4 pupils mad	de accele	erated progres	SS

Year 2 – Number of pupils – 44
Working Working
below within ARE or
above
26/44 - 18/44 -
59% 41%
2 pupils made accelerated progress
Year 3- Number of pupils - 40
Working Working Working
below towards within within
ARE ARE or
above
10/40 - 16/41 - 10/40 - 15/41 -
24% 39% 24% 37% Numbers working towards increased
Numbers working towards increased Numbers working below static – phonics intervention needs
continue.
84% Y2s met phonics screening threshold.
Summer
Year 1- Number of pupils - 41
Working Working Working
below within within
ARE ARE or
above
12/41 - 13/41 - 29/41 -
29% 32% 71%
5 pupils made accelerated progress
Vanua Nivoskau af mun'ila
Year 2 – Number of pupils – 43
Working below Working within
ARE or above

17/43 (40%)

26/43 (60%)

HLTA Intervention focused on reading fluency in Y2 2/6 pupils moved up a RWI level. All increased fluency scores

9 pupils made accelerated progress

			Working below 5/40 – 12% Numbers	9/41- 22% working at expensions receiving boo	Working within ARE 18/40 – 43.9% cted and a	Worl with ARE abov 22/4 54% bove increase	in or ve 1 –
NFER Maths tests identified 29 children in y2-6 have dropped from meeting expectations to working towards or below. Disadvantaged pupils are attaining as well as disadvantaged. SEND pupils are not attaining as well.	Use of NFER test analysis to inform planning to address gaps enabling Quality First teaching in class and intervention groups. Intervention – for UKS2 by booster teacher	£7300	Baseline All Pup Maths Pupil P Maths SEND Maths	remium	Exp 50% Exp 39% Exp 27%	28% SExp SExp	JExc 12% JExc 9% JExc 6%
A significant number are working below or well below.	TA-led intervention – LKS2 & Y2 National Tutoring Programme – 1:3 tuition for disadvantaged pupils working below or well below 2 x groups in each of Y4, Y5, Y6 15 sessions	£1300	Maths Y1 All PP Y2 All PP Y3 All PP Y4 All		JExp 76% 78% 50% 42% 63% 33% 56%	37% : 44% : 25% : 0% : 30% : 22% : .	JExc 17% 33% 11% 0% 13% 22%

	Γ							
			PP		36%	29%	0%	
	Y	Y5	All		61%	36%	18%	
			PP		25%	8%	8%	
	Y	Y6	All		67%	42%	18%	
			PP		54%	31%	8%	
	Ba	امعد	line:			•		
		wor be and	% rking low well low	Y6	Y5		Y4	
	A	All		45 -	44 -	4	5 -	
	P	PP		24.4% 13 -	20.5%	1	8.9% 4 -	
				38.5%	41.7%	4	2.9%	
	Sp	prin	g					
	N	Mat	:hs		JExp	SExp	JExc	
	Y	Y1	All		56%	24%	5%	
			PP		67%	11%	11%	
	Y	Y2	All		36%	14%	7%	
			PP		14%	0%	0%	
	Y	Y3	All		51%	20%	5%	
			PP		22%	11%	0%	
		Y4	All		52%	26%	4%	
			PP		33%	8%	0%	
		Y5	All		47%	29%	7%	
			PP		17%	8%	0%	
		Y6	All		41%	26%	13%	

	PP 14% 7% 7%						
	% workin g below and well below	Y6	Y5	Y4	Y3	Y2	Y1
	All	45 - 14- 30.5%	44 - 16 - 37.8%	46 - 10 - 21.7%	40 6 - 14.6%	44 15 - 34.1%	41 7 - 17.1%
	PP	14 - 50%	12 - 75%	15 – 33.3%	9 - 22.2%	14 - 42.9%	9 - 11.1%
	18 pupils in y lockdown so Attainment and 5. Boos have slipped 2, 4. Teached 41% of y6 ta lockdown No y5 pupils continue to 10% of Y2 ta further inter Summer Mixture of T Booster teach 50% of target	declined ter teach I back in y rer-led flue rgeted put have made a high argeted provention A / boost cher: 24/	in all year er interve years 3 arency practupils mad expect priority guils made expect priority guils made	r groups, ention to nd 5. TA-l tice for progressive expected group expected er / NTP in	most sign take prov ed inteve upils in ye ed progre ress – this d progres	nificantly i rided to pu- nation for ear 6. ss despite s group w s so will n	in years gupils that years 1,
	'grade'). NTP tutor ta progress (ac				6. 11/17 m	nade acce	elerated

EYFS baseline has identified a cohort attaining low in Listening &	Whole class focus on learning behaviours.	N/A – run by class teachers / TAs	Baseline: 42% ARE- Listening & attention 47% ARE – Moving & handling				
Attention and Moving & Handling	QFT teaching on fine motor skills challenges in provision. 3x per week focussed, teacher-directed activities. Funfit intervention – x5 per week for 5 pupils	N/A – run by class TAs	Spring 67% ARE- Listening & attention 60% ARE – Moving & handling Improved levels of attainment in focus areas of learning. Next cohort focus areas: Listening and Attention, Understanding and Speaking Literacy: Reading and Writing, managing feelings & behaviour QFT, research-led strategies, including play projects, drawing club, use of 'footprints' Summer 81% ARE- Listening & attention				
			83% ARE – Moving & handling GLD – 36% Lowest – Writing – 43% / Reading – 57% / Nbr – 60% / SSM – 64% / Understanding – 74% / Speaking – 76% Cohort will need continued support in phonics as well as prime areas in year 1. Cohort will have familiar TAs in support in year 1.				
RWI and EYFS baseline assessments in YR have identified 26 pupils (60%) working below ARE in Reading	Teacher-led, differentiated phonics groups. Regular pinny-time interventions and phonics games in provision.	N/A – run by class teachers	EYFS Baseline: Below ARE Working at ARE Above ARE 26 - 60% 15 - 35% 2 - 5% Spring: Below ARE Working at ARE Above ARE				

			targetted to achie provide x5 per we Summer	Improved levels of attainment overall but a number targetted to achieve expected by end of year. Catcl provide x5 per week phonics intervention.			
			20 - 48%	22 - 52%	20 - 48%		
3 YR pupils are working well below and 19 working	TA 1:1 intervention x3 per week following SALT targets	N/A – run by class TAs	Baseline: 3 pupils well be	elow / 18 below	I		
below in Speaking	'I Can' intervention programme (purchase of intervention materials, resources, training). X3 per week, 10 sessions	£550	78% of targete	ell below / 1 below / 8 working towa geted pupils have made expected p ccelerated. This continues to be a f			
	QFT teaching focusing on quality vocabulary development, including modelling/repeating and quality stories.		Improvements ov Speaking a whole 'I Can intervention Individuals targete SALT intervention Individuals refefer	projects pe implemented.			
			Summer 32/42 pupil s (7 Speaking (11 m baseline) 2 well below / require interve	progress from	n		
Pupils displaying behaviours which indicate	Nurture group support – 1 full-time TA in KS1 and 1 full-time TA in YR Working on targets from Boxall profiles	Already funded from Pupil Premium funding	Baseline: 4 x KS1 and 2 x and Wild Tribe	YR pupils asses intervention.	sed as needin	g nurture	

anxiety or lack of readiness to learn	TIS interventions led by TIS practitioners		Boxall assessments identified areas of need.
			Review- in Spring to determine impact Spring: 1 group is close to completion / another group has completed.Pupils needing Wild Tribe remain the same – plus 3 others identified.
			Summer: 25 pupils accessed Wild Tribe intervention in Spr / Summer. 14/15 made accelerated progress in Writing, 9/15 made accelerated progress in Reading and 10/15 made accelerated progress in Maths.
	Education Mental Health Practitioner support – 1:1 interventions / counselling	Free	5 accessing EMHP support in Autumn Term Spring: Of 5 chldren who accessed in Aut – 1 is continuing, 3 successfully completed and are showing improved engagement in class. 1 did not access and parent decided against 3 new children now accessing this intervention following assessment of need
			Summer 1 further child completed and showing positive engagement – families report positive changes in pupils that have accessed the intervention, sharing that they are less anxious and more regulated, leading to better outcomes EMHP support will continue to be accessed as need arises.

Wild Tribe intervention (5 groups – 1 x week) working on targets from Boxall profiles	50% already budgeted in staff and Pupil Premium budget / 50% already budgeted from Sports Premium budget	Baseline: 29 pupils assessed as needing SEMH intervention. Boxall assessments identified areas of need.
	Sports Fremmani Baaget	Spring 5 Pupils in y3/4 have completed Wild Tribe – boxall rescreening shows progress. 1 now accessing EMHP sessions. Others in-class TIS support from pracitioner 'in training'
		69% of pupils receiveing WT intervention made expected progress in Reading and 445 in maths despite lockdown. 1 child made accelerated progress in reading and 4 made accelerated progress in maths.
		20 pupils still accessing weekly Wild Tribe 14 new pupils identified for WT intervention – opening up to another year group. Children in YR also accessing additional WT TA support 1 x pm per week. Each class accessing outdoor learning 1 x per half-term, linked to curriculum and also addressing physcial and mental wellbeing.
		Summer: 25 pupils accessed Wild Tribe intervention in Spr / Summer. 14/15 made accelerated progress in Writing, 9/15 made accelerated progress in Reading and 10/15 made accelerated progress in Maths.

rategies (e.g. sustaining parental eng	gagement, social and emotion	al learning, reinforcing behaviour routines,				
How?	Cost?	Progress/Impact				
What will you do to address this?	Provide simple breakdown of proportionate or full costs.	6 weekly impact assessment against identified baseline				
Mental health and resilience CPD Recovery CPD TIS whole school focus on SEMH recovery	Free	TIS baseline undertaken Review in Spring Spring: Due to Spring Lockdown, the re-screening has not taken place but will be undertaken mid Summer 1 to inform ongoing targets for Summer 2 and into new year / supporting transition. Summer: TIS screening has taken place in Summer. Pupils identified for further intervention and information passed to receiving teacher. SENDCo to analyse further and identify whole school/cohort target areas				
PSA support: - engage and support target disadvantaged families to improve attendance - monthly online coffee mornings to facilitate peer support group	Already allocated from Pupil Premium funding	Baseline: Whole school attendance: 95.72% Pupil Premium attendance: 92.08% Below 96% Below 93% Below 90% All pupils 25.17% / 76 18.21% / 55 12.91% / 39 PP 40.79% / 41 31.58% / 24 23.68% / 18 Spring from PA Whole school attendance: 97.38%				
	How? What will you do to address this? Mental health and resilience CPD Recovery CPD TIS whole school focus on SEMH recovery PSA support: - engage and support target disadvantaged families to improve attendance - monthly online coffee mornings to	What will you do to address this? Mental health and resilience CPD Recovery CPD TIS whole school focus on SEMH recovery PSA support: - engage and support target disadvantaged families to improve attendance - monthly online coffee mornings to Provide simple breakdown of proportionate or full costs. Free Free Free Already allocated from Pupil Premium funding				

		Pupil Premium attendance: 95.77% National: 92%				
		All pupils	Below 96% 24.92% / 76 pupils	Below 93% 12.13% / 37 pupils	Below 90% 6.89% / 21 pupils	
		PP pupils	37.8% / 31 pupils	19.51% / 16 pupils	13.41% / 11 pupils	
		Gap for PP pupils is closing. Fewer PP puils are persistently absent (reduced b 7 pupils)				
		Summer: Whole school attendance: 97.58% Pupil Premium attendance: 96.25%				
		Persistent absence: 1.64%				
	Total cost					
	£22,806.92					